

APPLICATION NO.

10/601,626

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 3073/66 1105 **EXAMINER**

23338 7590 10/08/2004 DENNISON, SCHULTZ, DOUGHERTY & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

FILING DATE

06/24/2003

WILLIAMS, MARK A PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

3676

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Lee-Wen Jien

			0 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-1
	10/601,626	JIEN, LEE-WEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark A. Williams	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatory of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) datory of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of third y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	inder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/s	are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	an anti-said	
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list or the certified copies not	receivea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	

Application/Control Number: 10/601,626 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page1, line 19, it appears

"ring 30" should be –ring 60--, since this is a discussion of the prior art.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3676

90h

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 1, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the pull rod".

In claim 1, line 2, "which is consist of" is incorrect grammatically.

In claim 1, lines 4-5, "several layers of water-proof rubber ring setting" is misleading in the context of the claimed language, in that the drawings shown spaced apart rings, yet the term layer is generally used to refer to close contacting flat surfaces.

In claim 1, line 6-7, it is unclear in the context of the claim language what exactly constitutes "the proper position".

In claim 1, lines 8-9, it in not understood in the context of the invention what constitutes "a ladder shaped hollow positioning column".

In claim 1, lines 12-19, the subject matter following "comprising steps of:" is considered part of a method, thus does not further limit the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,626

Art Unit: 3676

In claim 1, lines 14-16, "the pivot seat dislocated within... positioning column," is not fully understood in the context of the claimed invention.

In claim 1, line 19, there is no antecedent basis for "the pin".

In claim 1, line 19, "with stable" is not understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). See applicant's figure 1 and the specifications under "Description of the prior art". Applicant has not sufficiently claimed the invention to distinguish it form AAPA.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA. AAPA does not explicitly disclose a T type concave groove, as claimed. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the device of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47. Such a modification is not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-3438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams 9/30/04

Prince ivening